

Now, this march was to demonstrate their commitment to instilling values in America's children and to focus the Nation's attention on the need to raise moral children in this country.

Mr. Speaker, how can Bill Clinton and his liberal buddies preach about morality to our children and then turn around and deliberately mislead the American people about the White House's search of the FBI files? This hypocrisy must stop, Mr. Speaker.

The White House had FBI files for possible political use stored in one of their security vaults. Mr. Speaker, I ask, does this sound like an innocent bureaucratic mistake as the White House says? Oh, of course out.

Mr. Speaker, if Bill Clinton wants to instill honesty in our children, should be not first look at the mirror and look at himself?

HOUSE REPUBLICANS DIVIDED ON THE BUDGET

(Mr. WARD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WARD. Mr. Speaker, the secret is out. This may not be England, but there is dissension in the family, and the Queen is not happy. The House Republicans are divided, and the gentleman from Texas [Mr. ARMEY] cannot as easily as usual whip them into shape. Yesterday the vote on the final passage of the 1997 fiscal year budget was yanked, taken off the floor, because the Republican freshmen and the more conservative members of the majority party were not in line.

It seems that the budget deficit will increase over the next 2 years under Speaker GINGRICH's plan, not decrease. As my colleague, the gentleman from Texas [Mr. BARTON], so appropriately said, we are backing away from what they started out to do in this Congress, something that may not be too smart in an election year: To try and cut the deficit. However, the crown jewel of the tax cut for the wealthy may be threatened.

So let us see where the gentleman from Texas, Mr. ARMEY's, priorities are and those of his royal family.

REPUBLICANS BALANCE THE BUDGET FOR OUR CHILDREN'S FUTURE

(Mr. RIGGS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. RIGGS. Mr. Speaker, to listen to the rhetoric from some of my good Democratic friends and colleagues this morning, one would think that they were prepared to vote for less spending and lower deficits, when nothing could be further from the truth. We are going to get a budget agreement, and let me tell my colleagues why. The budget agreement that we have reached between House and Senate Republicans achieves a \$5 billion Federal budget

surplus in the year 2002. It calls for reforming Medicaid and welfare, saving Medicare from bankruptcy and providing middle-class families much needed tax relief. It reforms Medicaid and welfare by giving more power and more revenue to the States in line with the recommendations, the bipartisan recommendations, of the Nation's Governors. It preserves and protects Medicare for our Nation's elderly, a goal which is even more important because of the report last week from the Medicare trustees which says Medicare is going broke earlier than expected; in fact, their worst-case scenario is that Medicare could be broke in 1999, just 3 years from now. And it calls for permanent tax relief for American families through a \$500-per-child tax credit that is \$1,000 for a family of four.

Mr. Speaker, it is a good budget, and it balances the budget for the sake of our children's future.

REPUBLICAN BUDGET BAD FOR SENIORS AND FOR THE DEFICIT

(Ms. DELAURO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, the House was scheduled to vote on the 1997 budget yesterday but the vote was delayed at the last minute. It seems that the Republican budget, by their own admission, actually increases the Federal deficit.

And freshman Republicans, many of whom campaigned back home for a balanced budget and many of whom voted for the balanced budget amendment, balked at voting for a budget that is not balanced.

But today the Republican leadership has twisted enough arms and many of these same freshmen will support a budget that does exactly what they promised they would not do when they came to Washington: increase the deficit. What hypocrisy.

And yet these same Republicans want the American people to trust them when they say their budget does not cut the Medicare program by \$168 billion over a 6-year period to pay for tax breaks for the wealthy. Do not be fooled. This budget is bad for seniors and bad for the deficit.

REPUBLICANS SHOULD SUPPORT THE CONTRACT CALLED MEDICARE

(Mr. HILLIARD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HILLIARD. Mr. Speaker, the Republicans are not cooperating or attempting to work out a compromise to protect Medicare for seniors. I hope all voters remember these facts in November. The Republicans have repeatedly voted for deep cuts in the Medicare Program which will affect the quality of service which our seniors depend on to stay healthy and, in fact, to stay alive.

The Republicans in Congress seem to be big supporters of contracts. If this is true, then they should stand by the contract which America has made with our senior citizens. This contract is called Medicare. The Republicans should support Medicare, should defend Medicare, but the Republicans should not cut Medicare.

WE NEED TO PASS A RESPONSIBLE BUDGET

(Mr. LINDER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, it is interesting that the people who are complaining about cuts to Medicare are offering no program to save it. The President's own trustees on the Medicare trust fund said it will go bankrupt a year ago by the year 2002, and I will say it will be bankrupt in 5 years, and no program from this side is trying to protect it.

This budget we are about to vote on is going to give us a \$5 billion surplus in the year 2002 to begin the downpayment on our grandchildren's debt, an immoral debt, I might add, that we left them after 30 years voting ourselves wonderful government programs and just choosing not to pay for them. This budget is going to preserve and protect Medicare for our Nation's elderly for many years, but not enough yet until the baby-boomers come, and we are going to have to still deal with that. It is also going to give some tax relief for families with children up to \$100,000 in income \$500-per-child tax credit. These are for middle-class American families. All this baloney about the wealthy, but there are not capital gains cuts in this budget. These are for middle-class families, \$500 per child tax relief.

It is time to pass a responsible budget that comes to balance.

BATTLE OVER BUDGET

(Mr. SCHUMER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. Speaker, why is the row of Republicans there so empty in giving speeches this morning? They are all busy fighting with each other over the budget. They do not have time to put together a coherent program. And the reason is simple: The Republican Party has drifted over to the extreme. Bob Dole is a voice of moderation, I guess, with TRENT LOTT taking over the Senate, and then the extremists will even be given a greater hand. So look at the budget they cannot even get the extremists to pass on: fewer toxic waste cleanups, welfare reform that cuts—

POINT OF ORDER

Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, I have a point of order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAZIO of New York). The gentleman will state his point of order.